

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	IAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/368,010	08/03/99	KASPER		К	BEH-7443
-		11644 (5.74.4)	,	EXAMINER	
HM12/1105 DADE BEHRING INC.				CEPERLEY, M	
1717 DEERFIELD ROAD, #778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATTN: LOIS K. RUSZALA DEERFIELD IL 60015-0778			1641	12	
				DATE MAILED	: 11/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer.	09/368,010	KASPER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Au	gust 22, 2001 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10, 13-30, 34-49, 52-60, and 62-82</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11, 12, 31-33, 50, 51, and 61</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/368,010

Art Unit: 1641

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 11, 12, 31-33, 50, 51 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as being based on a specification which does not contain an adequate enabling written description of how to make the claimed monoclonal antibodies.

Claim 11, as amended, now recites antibodies having specific affinity and cross-reactivity limitations which were not recited in earlier versions of the claim. Based on the level of ordinary skill in the monoclonal antibody producing art, it is considered that the description in the specification is inadequate to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to produce a monoclonal antibody having the specific characteristics recited in claim 11. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required are summarized in *In re Wands* (858 F2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 ((Fed. Dir. 1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the scope of the claim, the amount of direction or guidance provided, the unpredictability in the art and amount of experimentation required to enable one of skill in the art to practice the claimed invention. In the instant case, there can be no reasonable assurance that a process of screening monoclonal antibodies prepared using the immunogen of Example 2 would yield monoclonal antibodies having:

..."a binding affinity for tacrolimus of about 3.7×10^9 liters/mole, that cross-reacts with 13-demethyl tacrolimus" and "less than about 8% cross-reactivity to all of the following tacrolimus metabolites: 15-demethyl tacrolimus; 31-demethyl tacrolimus; 13,31-didemethyl tacrolimus; 15,31-dedemethyl tacrolimus; and 12-hydroxy tacrolimus".

The amount of guidance or direction needed to enable an invention is inversely related to the amount of knowledge in the state of the art as well as the predictability in the art (*In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970)). In view of the limited number of working examples (i.e. the preparation of only *one* monoclonal antibody having the required characteristics), the unpredictability in



Art Unit: 1641

the art and the specificity required for the monoclonal antibodies of the claims, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to practice the invention as claimed.

Applicants' attention is directed to *In re Lundak*, 773 F.2d. 1216; 117 USPQ 90 (CAFC 1985); MPEP, Chapter 2400; 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 and *Ex parte Erlich*, 3 USPQ2d 1011 for further information concerning deposit practice.

3. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7230.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,010

Art Unit: 1641

November 1, 2001

Mary E. Ceperley
Mary E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641